### The University of Texas at Austin Hildebrand Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

# Fundamentals of Drilling Engineering



## The University of Texas at Austin

### Hildebrand Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

## Fundamentals of Production Engineering

Nomenclature **Flow in Pipes** Corrosion Corrosion Reduces metal thickness which leads to a reduction in collapse, burst, and tensile forces Mechanical Energy Balance Friction Factor mass = mass lostLiquid Flow Consistent Unit Corrosion Influences Approximate Material Selection Monitoring - Corrosion Coupon loody correlations bas on Reynolds Number  $\Delta t = \text{test duration}$ Max Temp ★ 482°F Corrosion Rate In general, hard metal Max Temp 392°F 25Cr  $N_{\rm Re} = 1.478 \frac{q\rho}{ID\mu}$  $\rho_c = \text{coupon density}$  $+ gLsin\theta + \frac{g}{\rho}(P_2 - P_1) + 2\bar{v}^2 \frac{L}{ID}f = 0$ 10 ure (atm) with large  $\sigma_y$  will corrode quicker than softer metal  $= \frac{\Delta mass}{\rho_c A_s \Delta t} [=] \frac{mil}{yr} \quad \frac{mil}{yr}$ 50Ni-6Mc  $\frac{8q^2}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{ID_2^4} - \frac{1}{ID_1^4} \right)$ inch 25 Cr 25Cr-35Ni-3Mo Max Temp 572°F CR = $\frac{mn}{yr} = \frac{mn}{1000 \ yr}$ A<sub>s</sub> = coupon surface area 13 Cr ρ·-- $\square$ 25 Cr 20Cr-35Ni-5Mo  $N_{\rm Re} = 20.09 \frac{\gamma_g q_{sc}}{ID\mu}$ with a smaller  $\sigma_{v}$ 13 Cr duplex Flow in Pipes duplex 22Cr-42Ni-3Mo Energy of CR[=] thickness loss/time Expansion or Compression Potential energy vertical flow  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ = friction factor Increases Corrosion Rate partial pr I-55 Pitting Rate ID = internal diameter[=]in L-80 Gas Flow Consistent Units Following variables increase N-80 Laminar Flow Max Temp 300°F if 0.01  $PR = d/\Delta t = mil/yr$ = pipeline length[=]ft C-90 Cr-Mo Salt concentration P-110  $N_{\rm Re} \le 2100$ 0<sup>7</sup> 1E-T-95 deepest pit depth  $\Delta t = \text{test duration}$  $v_g = \text{gas specific gravity}$  $\frac{zRT}{\gamma_g MW_{air}P} dP + gL\sin\theta + \frac{32fL}{\pi^2 ID^5} \left(\frac{q_{sc} zTP_{sc}}{PT_{sc}}\right)^2 = 0$  Dissolved gas not noted 0-125 Flow in pipe ∆KE negligib - Dissolved gas - Dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> - Dissolved H<sub>2</sub>S - Temperature  $f = \frac{16}{N_{\text{Re}}}$ CR (mil/yr) PR (mil/yr) Severity  $MW_{ain} = molar mass of air$ 1E-4 1E-3 0.01 0.1 1 10 H<sub>2</sub>S partial pressure (*atm*) 100  $\begin{array}{l} \text{horizontal} \\ \theta = 0^{\circ} \end{array}$ <1.0 <5.0 Low 1.0-4.9 5.0-7.9 Moderate  $\iota =$ fluid viscosity[=]cpN<sub>Re</sub> = Reynold's number  $P_{CO_2} = z_{CO_2} P_{BH} \quad P_{H_2S} = P_{BH} (H_2 S \, ppm/1x 10^6)$  $P_2^2 - P_1^2 = 1.0068 x 10^{-4} \left[ \frac{\gamma_g \bar{z} \bar{T} q_{sc}^2}{ID^5} \{ fL + ID \ln(P_1/P_2) \} \right]$ Turbulent Flow Horizontal 5.0-10.0 8.0-15.0 Decrease in pH Severe P<sub>1</sub> = inlet pressure[=]psi =  $CO_2$  fraction  $P_{BH}$  = static bottom hole P[=]attached attached attP<sub>2</sub> = outlet pressure[=]psi  $N_{\rm Re} > 2100$ Scale Inclined Gas Flow q = liquid rate[=] bbl/day $f = \frac{0.0791}{N_{\rm Re}^{0.25}}$  $P_2^2 = e^s P_1^2 + 2.685 x 10^{-3} \frac{f(\bar{x}\bar{T}q_{sc})}{ID^5 \sin\theta} (e^s - 1) \quad \left| s = \frac{-0.0375 \gamma_g L \sin\theta}{\bar{x}\bar{T}} \right|$  $q_{sc} = \text{gas rate}[=] Mscf/day$ Calcium Carbonate Scale Sulfate Scale Tendencies p =fluid density[=]  $lb_m/ft^3$ Calculate the solubility (S) of the ion to predict scale Calculate the Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) to predict scale ' = avg. flowing temp[=]RFlow Control at the Surface  $pH_s = pH$  at saturation  $LSI = pH - pH_s$  $S = \left[ X^{2} + 4K_{sp} - X \right] X = [C] - [A] [=] mol/L$ pH = actual pHvelocity[=] ft/sec Gas Flow Through a Choke Liquid Flow – Choke = avg. z-factor S = ion solubility[=] mol/L | [C] = cation molarity $pH_s = 0.1\log_{10}(TDS) - 13.12\log_{10}(T) - \log_{10}\{(Hard)(Alk)\} + 44.15$  $\rightarrow \underset{\text{value}}{\text{critical }} \text{CV} = \left(\frac{2}{\gamma_H + 1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma_H}{\gamma_H - 1}}$ Isothermal, steady-state  $\hat{C}_p M W_{\underline{air} \gamma_g}$ Flow Control mechanical energy balance  $K_{sp} = \text{equilibrium coefficient} | [A] = \text{anion molarity}$ specific TDS = total dissolved solids[=] mg/L T = temperature[=]Kspecific eat ratio  $\gamma_H \approx \frac{1}{\hat{C}_P M W_{air} \gamma_g - 1.99}$ D<sub>c</sub> = choke diameter[=]in  $\frac{1}{2}(v_2^2 - v_1^2) = g \frac{P_1 - P_2}{r_1^2}$  $Hard = hardness = 1000 [MW_{CaCO_3}([Ca] + [Mg])] [=] mg/L$ Compute equivalents per liter for cation and anion  $ID_n = upstream diameter[=]in$  $\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)_m$  uses measured pressures from well Compare solubility to minimum value  $\Delta P = \Delta P$  across choke[=]psia  $\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)_m \le CV$  $\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)_m > CV$  $\frac{(ion mg/L)(ion charge)}{L} = \frac{eq}{L}$ Alk = alkalinity[=]mg/L  $MW_{CaCO_3} = 100.09 \ g/mol$  [ion][=]mol/L $\frac{8081.7C(D_c^2)}{\Delta P}$  $\hat{C}_P = \text{constant pressure specifi heat capacity}[=] BTU/lb_m^{\circ}F$  $1 - \left(\frac{D_c}{ID_p}\right)^4 \sqrt{\rho}$  $P_1 = upstream pressure$  $Alk = 500[MW_{CaCO_3}([HCO_3] + 2[CO_3] + [OH] + [H])]$  [H] is negligible  $1000(MW_{ion})$ Critical flow Critical flow  $D_{64} = 64(D_c)[=]in/64$  $P_2 = \text{downstream pressure}$ Scale is likely to form if  $LSI \ge 0$ Scale is likely to form if  $S \le eq/L$  $\Gamma_1 = upstream temp[=]R$  $q = 0.238 C D_{64}^2 P_1 \left| \frac{\gamma_H \left\{ C V^{\left(\frac{2}{\gamma_H}\right)} - C V^{\left(\frac{\gamma_H + 1}{\gamma_H}\right)} \right\}}{C V^{\left(\frac{\gamma_H + 1}{\gamma_H}\right)}} \right\}$ **Pipeline Design** Pipeline Design Discharge Coefficient (C)  $\sigma_v = \min$ . yield strength[=]ps actual flow rate General Design Steps *C* = = wall thickness[=]in theoretical rate 1. Determine proper regulatory policy 5. Calculate P<sub>i</sub> and P<sub>MT</sub> 6. Determine test pressure 7. Final burst rating check OD = outer diameter[=]in Critical Flow Not Achieved Empirical Estimate - Do not proceed until established  $P_i = \frac{2(SF)\sigma_y t}{SF} = 0.875$  $P_d = \frac{2\sigma_y t}{OD} FET$ - As specified by correct P<sub>i</sub> = internal pressure[=]psi regulatory policy  $C = C_1 - 6.53 \sqrt{\frac{D_c}{ID_p N_{\text{Re}}}}$   $C_1 = 0.907 \tau$ Design engineer's responsibility  $\frac{\gamma_H+1}{\gamma_H}$  $\frac{\gamma_H}{\gamma_g T_1(\gamma_H - 1)} \left[ \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)_m^{\frac{2}{\gamma_H}} \right]$ P<sub>MT</sub> = mill test pressure[=]psi  $\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)_m^{\frac{P_1}{\gamma}}$ OD  $P_d$  and  $P_{test} < 0.85 P_{MT}$  $q = 0.238CD_{64}^2P_1$ 2. Determine pipe diameter  $P_{test} = 1.5 MAOP \text{ or } 1.25 P_{o}$ P<sub>b</sub> = burst pressure[=]psi  $P_{MT} \cong 0.8 P_i$  $P_i [=] P_h$  $C_1 = 0.9975$ Example using ASME B31.8 - Based on flow rate and exit pressure whichever is grea P<sub>d</sub> = design pressure[=]psi Flowing Temp 3. Determine MAOP for pipeline Construction Type F Joint Type Т IPR Inflo Performance Relation (IPR) Private right of way (PROW) 0.72 < 250 °F 1.0 Threaded seamless 1.0 q<sub>o</sub><sup>sc</sup> = oil rate[=] STB/day - Maximum allowed operating pressu **Oil Productivity Index** Single-Phase Oil IPR Gas Productivity Index 250 - 300 °F 0.967 0.6 PROW on fringe of populated areas Threaded ERW 1.0 Pe = boundary pressure[=]ps 4. Estimate pipe  $\sigma_v$  and t 300-350°F 0.933  $J_o = \frac{q_o^{sc}}{P_e - P_{wf}} [=] \frac{STB}{psi \cdot day}$ Decreasing P<sub>e</sub> over time  $J_g = \frac{q_g^{sc}}{P_e^2 - P_{wf}^2} [=] \frac{Mscf}{psi^2 \cdot day}$ P<sub>wf</sub> = flowing wellbore[=]psi Sparsely populated residential areas 0.5 Furnace lap welded 0.8  $1.5(MAOP) = \frac{2\sigma_y t}{OD}FET$ 350 - 400 °F 0.900  $k_o = oil permeability[=]mD$ 0.4 Populated areas and public roads Furnace butt welded 0.6 400 - 450 °F 0.867 Apply steady-state & radial flow h = reservoir thickness[=]ftSteady-state & radial flow  $\overline{J}_{a}$ Predicting Gas Production  $B_o = \text{oil FVF}[=] RB/STB$  $0.00708k_{o}h$  $7.0225 x 10^{-4} (k_g h)$ Gas decline curves are harder to predict due to the  $\mu_o = oil viscosity[=]cp$  $J_g$ Common Issues  $\overline{\mu_g \bar{z}T \left[ \ln \left( \frac{r_e}{r_w} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + s \right]}$  $B_o \mu_o \left[ \ln \left( \frac{r_e}{r_w} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + s \right]$  $a_{o}^{sc}$  (STB/day) high expansivity of gas Detection Methods Prevention Methods Removal Methods re = drainage radius[=]ft Damage Type Can lead to an overestimation of total recovery w = wellbore radius[=]fi If  $B_0, \mu_0, k_0$  relatively constan Calcium Water analysis Scale inhibito Only good for single phase flow Gas properties evaluated at  $\overline{P}$ J<sub>o</sub> is a constant HCl acid job = skin factor Can use a P/z plot as another predictor arbonate Scal Physical sample Scale squeeze Gas IPR Curves  $\overline{p} = avg.$  reservoir P[=]psi<u>Two-Phase IPR</u> ( $P < P_{BP}$ ) - Developed from the Real Gas Law Barium Sulfate Scal Water analysis Mechanical removal Scale inhibito ecreasing Pe over time  $k_g = \text{gas permeability}[=]mD$ Physical sample Empirical correlation (Vogel, 1968) Re-perforation Decreasing  $\overline{P}$  over time P/z Plot - OGIP Estimation  $q_g^{sc} = \text{gas rate}[=] Mscf/day$ P<sub>wf</sub> (psi) Water analysis Physical sample Sodium Reduce pressure dro esh H<sub>2</sub>O circulatio  $\frac{q_o}{s} = 1 - 0.2X - 0.8X^2$  $\bar{\iota}_g = avg. gas viscosity[=]cp$ Absolute Chloride to reduce gas cooling Water influx q<sub>o,max</sub> Open Flow ' = reservoir temp[=]R $X=P_{wf}/\bar{P}$  No water influx Emulsion Emulsion breaker Emulsions and Sludge Physical sample Lab analysis breake Mutual Solvent Predicting Gas Production Can replace  $\overline{P}$  with  $P_e$  $q_o^{sc}$  (STB/day)  $\left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{P}}}{\mathbf{z}}\right)$  $q_a^{sc}$  (Mscf/day) Liquid Block Gas Well Well history Lab analysis Limit pressure drop at wellbore G<sub>P</sub> = cumulative gas produced Mutual solvents ertical Lift Performance  $G_{w} = recoverable gas$ Curve Analysis G = original gas in place Vertical lift performance can be developed Physical sample Inhibitors Inhibitors Hyperbolic Decline Asphaltene b = 0 = b = 0.5 = b = 1Oil analysis Application of hea Application of heat  $G_{ab} = G_r$ Decline Curve Analysis by using the mechanical energy balance (Arps, 1940) Inhibitors Application of heat VLP displays bottom hole pressure required Inhibitors Physical sample = hyperbolic exponent G = OGIPParaffir 0 < b < 1to flow to surface at varying flow rates Oil analysis Application of hea a = future rate [=] prod/tim VLP & IPR  $q_i = initial rate[=] prod/time$ Limit production rate Gravel/frac pack Re-perforation Small frac job Formation Fines Physical sample  $q=\frac{\cdot \iota}{(1+bD_it)^{1/b}}$ - 2.375" tbg 2.875" tbg 3.5" tbg IPR As b-factor increases, well's economic life increases = time Clay D = decline rate[=] 1/timeSmaller ID requires Lab analysis Don't introduce Re-perforation Small frac job  $RF = \frac{G_r}{G}$  $t = \frac{1}{D_i b} \left\{ \left( \frac{q_i}{q} \right)^b - 1 \right\}$  $\left(\frac{\overline{P}}{z}\right)_{i}\frac{1}{\overline{G}}G_{P}$ Time  $\left(\frac{P}{z}\right) = \left(\frac{P}{z}\right)$ Swelling roduction rate dro incompatible wat  $D_i = initial decline[=] 1/time$ more pres Physical sample Lab culture Don't introduce acteria laden wate  $N_n = \text{cumulative production}$ Recovery Bacteria Bacteriacide factor Artificial Lift  $N_p = \frac{\left(q_i - q_i^b q^{1-b}\right)}{2}$ Need more Pw PIP = pump intake P Artificial Lift than well provide:  $D_i(1-b)$ FL. PDP = pump discharge P Rod Pump ESP Gas Lift Common Issu Beam Lift EL  $\Delta P_{SV} = \Delta P$  thru standing value 3000 Excellent 0 2000 30 q<sub>o</sub><sup>sc</sup> (STB/day)  $D = D_i \left(\frac{q}{q_i}\right)$ Sand Fair Fair Pressure Differential Across Plunger Rod Loads b = 0 = b = 0.5 = b = 1 $P_{s,tbg} = tbg surface pressure$ Paraffir Poor Good Poor  $PPRL = W_{rf} + F_o + W_{D,uv}$ IPR < VLP need artificial lift to flow to surface  $\Delta P = PDP - PIP + \Delta P_{SV}$   $PIP = P_{wf}$  $\nabla P_{tbg} = tbg fluid P gradient$ High GOR Fair Fair Excellen cker Force Fair Deviated Hole Poor  $MPRL = W_{rf} - W_{D,down}$ Good  $PDP = \nabla P_{tbg} D_{pump} + P_{s,tbg} + P_{fric}$ S = surface stroke length[=]in Good Fair Fair stretch[=]in Corrosion Piston Forces Pump Displacement  $W_{rf} = W_{rod} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{rod}}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l} W_{rf} = \text{buoyed} \\ \text{rod weight} \end{array}$ Tubing Movemen Poor Excellent Good High Volume If tbg free to move, need to make sure pkr stays sealed  $s_{rod} = rod stretch[=]in$  $F_a = F_{SO} + P_a \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} \left( OD_b^2 - OD_s^2 \right) \right]$  $q = 0.1166Nd_p^2S_p\eta_p[=]bbl/day$ Depth Fair Fair Good MPRL = min. polish road load Yes pump speed[=]spm  $\eta_p$  = pump efficiency  $SV_{load} = W_{rf}$   $TV_{load} = F_0 + SV_{load}$ Simple Design Yes No PPRL = peak polish rod load  $P_a = P_{s,ann} + D_{pkr} \nabla P_{ann}$ Casing Size Fair Good Good dp = plunger diameter[=]in Pump Slippage (Patterson, et al, 2007)  $F_o = \text{fluid weight}[=]lb_f$  $F_{so} =$ slacked offtubing weight Flexibility Fair Poor Good  $q_{s} = (1 + 0.14N)453 \frac{d_{p} \Delta P c_{p}^{1.52}}{L_{p} \mu_{f}} [=] \frac{bbl}{day}$ Effective Stroke Length  $W_D = dynamic load[=]lb$ Total ΔL <sup>Tota</sup>. Movemen OD<sub>b</sub> = packer bore diameter[=]in Production Scale Good Poor Fair  $S_p = S + s_{po} - s_{tbg} - s_{rod}[=]in$  $L_p \mu_f$  $L_p = plunger seal length[=]ii$  $OD_s = metal seal tube OD[=]in$ 84% 2% 11% Onshore Usage  $c_p = plunger clearance[=]in \quad \mu_f[=]cp$ = plunger overtravel s<sub>tbg</sub> = 0 tbg anchored Packer Forces  $D_{pkr} = packer true vertical depth$  $P_{s,ann} = \text{surface pressure in annulus}$  $P_a = P$  above the packet ource Economics  $P_b^u = P$  below the packer ∇P<sub>ann</sub> = annulus fluid pressure gradier Time Value of Money Reserve Classification - Common Acronyms  $ID_c = seals ID[=]in$  $F_b = -P_b \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} \left( OD_b^2 - ID_s^2 \right) \right]$ I. PDP: Proved Developed Producing - well is online and producing Temp PV = present value PV = (FV)(DF)DR = discount rate Anter = cross sectional area ength . PDNP: Proved Dev. Non-Producing – reserves are behind pipe, well is FV = future value DR[=] decimal/yr  $\Sigma F MD$  $P_b = P_{s,tbg} + D_{pkr} \nabla P_{tbg}$  $\Delta P_{ann} = avg. \Delta P$  in annulus  $\varSigma F = F_b + F_a + F_{bu} + F_{ba} + F_T \ \left| \ \Delta L = \frac{\varDelta r}{A_{tbg}} \frac{P^{t} \nu}{E} [=] ft$  $DF = \left(1 + \frac{DR}{n}\right)$ shut-in, or waiting on necessary equipment installation to produc  $\Delta P_{tha} = avg. \Delta P$  in the tubing DF = discount factort = time in yearsPermanent Buckling 3. PUD: Proved Undeveloped - offsetting wells or existing wells that  $E_a =$ force acting on seals from above MD =pkr measured depth  $\delta = \text{linear thermal expansion}$ would require a major recompletio n = discounting periods per year $F_{bu} = A_{pkr} (\Delta P_{ann} - \Delta P_{tbg})$ Converting Production into Cash Flow Resource Economics = force acting on seals from below  $A_{tbg} = cross sectional area$  $\Delta P_{ann} = \Delta P_{s,ann} + \frac{D_{pkr} \nabla P_{ann}}{\Gamma}$ Economic Limit Net Revenue Disc. Cash Flow NRI = net revenue interest OPEX[=]\$/time If the cannot move, need to check tensile strength of pkr and the  $EL = \frac{OPEX}{NP} \left(\frac{WI}{NRI}\right) [=] \frac{prod}{time}$ (GR)(NRI) (NR)(ST + AVT) NR - OPEX - TAX (CF)(DF)OP = oil price WI = working interest  $F_{top} = MD(W_B) - F_T - F_{ba} - F_{SO}$  $\Delta P_{tbg} = \Delta P_{s,tbg} + \frac{D_{pkr} \nabla P_{tbg}}{2}$ AVT = ad valorem taxue = (production)(gross price) NP = net priceforce at top of tubing  $W_B$  = buoyed tbg weight [=]  $lb_f/ft$ Evaluating Potential Investments GP = gas price  $NP_{a} = OP(1 - ST_{a} - AVT) + GP(GOR)(1 - ST_{a} - AVT)$ Disc. Return on Investment | Disc. Rate of Return | Undiscounted Payout DCF | Discount rate that | Time required to return GOR = gas-oil ratio Temperature Change Tubing Ballooning (for steel)  $DROI = \frac{DOI}{Investment}$ DCF  $NP_g = GP(1 - ST_g - AVT) + OP(OY)(1 - ST_o - AVT)$  $NP_{\alpha} = \text{net gas price}$  $\sum_{\delta=6.9}^{L=30} \sum_{x \downarrow 10^{-6} \circ F^{-1}} \left| F_{ba} = 0.6 \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \Delta P_{ann} O D_{tbg}^2 - \Delta P_{tbg} I D_{tbg}^2 \right) \right|$  $F_T = A_{tbg}(\Delta T)E\delta = 207A_{tbg}(\Delta T)$ yields a net present initial investment using DCF = discounted cash flow  $NP_o = net oil price$  ST = severance tax OY = oil yieldvalue of zero undiscounted cash flow Created by James Riddle with guidance from Dr. Paul Bommer and Dr. Matthew T. Balhoff